

University of Brighton

Second independent evaluation of the Ashington, and West Sussex Neighbourhood Wardens Scheme

June 2004

By

Peter Squires Liz Cunningham Marylynn Fyvie Gauld

Health and Social Policy Research Centre

Faculty of Health School of Applied Social Science

Contents

Acknowledgements	2
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
Aims of This Evaluation	4
Methodology	5
The Aim Of The Neighbourhood Wardens Scheme	5
Key Findings	6
The Findings – Residents Survey	7
The Findings – Residents Survey The Findings – Community Groups	
The Findings – Community Groups The Findings – Stakeholders and Project Partners	ب ه
Conclusion And Recommendations	٠
Conclusion And Recommendations	
INTRODUCTION	11
Report Structure	.,
Report Structure	******************
SECTION 1: STAKEHOLDERS' VIEWS	12
Involvement with the scheme	12
Scheme Management	13
Understanding of Scheme Objectives	13
Community Involvement in the Scheme	1 <i>A</i>
Scheme Influence and Impact	
Scheme influence and impact	15
Overall Impression of Achievements	17
Police	19
Wardens Perspectives	ク1
Police Perspectives	ر سے ۱۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰۰،۰۰
Figure 1 Impact on Police	
SECTION 2: THE RESIDENTIAL SURVEY	25
SURVEY RESULTS	2E
SURVEY RESULTS	
Survey Conclusions	
SECTION 3: COMMUNITY FOCUS GROUP DISCUS	SIONS 45
Focus Groups	
Young People	46
Young mothers	40
Older people	Oč
DEFEDENCES	59

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report contains an evaluation of the Ashington Neighbourhood Wardens Project. The scheme was established in the West Sussex village of Ashington during 2001. The scheme manager commissioned an evaluation — their second — during their final year of central funding. The evaluation took place between February and April 2004. The evaluation draws upon evidence drawn from a number of sources including:

- Interviews with stakeholders and those responsible for establishing the initiative and key partners
- A random sample postal survey of residents of the village
- Interviews and observation with the neighbourhood wardens
- Focus groups and participatory activities with 3 particular groups of village residents: young people/teenagers, young mothers and older people.

It was hoped to examine crime data during the evaluation, but this information was not made available by the Police within the timeframe of the study.

National evaluation findings

The recently published final report of the national evaluation of wardens' schemes¹ finds that:

- Wardens schemes are effective
- There is no typical wardens scheme

Key impacts include:

- · Quality of life in scheme areas has improved
- Wardens have reduced fear of crime, particularly for older people
- Wardens are helping to tackle environmental problems
- Youth anti-social behaviour is being impacted
- · Crime rates in scheme areas have declined
- Police are generally positive about wardens
- Wardens are successful at linking residents and services

The following are identified as elements of a good scheme:

- Flexible and responsive schemes with good communication skills
- Wide involvement of stakeholders
- Resident participation from design to implementation to monitoring and evaluation

Research Report 8, Neighbourhood Wardens Scheme Evaluation, Office of the Prime Minister,

- Active steering groups
- Consistent scheme management with low staff turnover
- Strong scheme partnerships
- High visibility of wardens
- Targeted work with vulnerable residents
- · Community-focused wardens, particularly in young people
- Ongoing training and support for wardens

The national research reported a fall of nearly 28% in the crime rate in scheme areas and a 6.5% decline in concern over bogus callers. Where wardens are working closely with young people, residents report a reduction in gang activities and feelings of intimidation.

Aims of this evaluation

The evaluation aimed to build on the formative evaluation of 2002 and identified three main aims:

- To revisit participants and look in more detail at the scheme impact under its
 objectives reducing crime and fear of crime; reducing anti-social behaviour;
 improving the environment, and community development and Inclusion
- To examine the relationships the scheme has with local agencies and services
- To review the monitoring and evaluation mechanisms in place and recommend improvements

Specifically, the evaluation would need to:

- · Identify any changes in feelings of safety in the community
- Identify progress on clarifying the wardens' community development role and indicators for community development
- Examine the perceptions of the community on their work with young people
- Examine the community's perceptions of the way the wardens and police work together
- Measure improvements in community involvement and seek more involvement in the monitoring and evaluation mechanisms