1 Response to the United Nations Human Rights Council's report on race and gender discrimination in sport:

- 2 An expression of concern, and a call to prioritise research
- 3



- 32 ¹⁵ Department of Movement, Human and Health Sciences, University of Rome "Foro Italico", Rome, Italy
- 33 ¹⁶ Villa Stuart Sport Clinic, FIFA Medical Center of Excellence, Rome, Italy
- ¹⁷ Italian Federation of Sports Medicine (FMSI), Rome, Italy

- 35 ¹⁸ Unit International Law, Department of Constitutional, International and European Law. University of
- 36 Salzburg, Salzburg, Austria
- ¹⁹ Department of Sports Medicine, Humboldt University and Charité University School of Medicine, Berlin,
 Germany
- ²⁰ Murdoch Children's Research Institute, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC 3052, Australia
- 40 ²¹ Department of Paediatrics, University of Melbourne, The Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne, VIC
- 41 3052, Australia
- 42 ²² Institute of Sports Science, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- 43 ²³ Austrian Institute of Sports Medicine, Vienna, Austria
- ²⁴ Department of Cardiology, University Hospital Zurich University Heart Centre, University of Zurich,
- 45 Zurich, Switzerland
- 46 ²⁵ Division of Exercise Science and Sports Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- 47 ²⁶Centre for Exercise Science and Sports Medicine, University of the Witwatersrand, South Africa
- 48 ²⁷ GENUD research group, Faculty of Sport and Health Sciences, Department of Physiatry and Nursing,
- 49 University of Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain
- 50 ²⁸ I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University), Ministry of Health of
- 51 Russia, Moscow, Russian Federation
- ²⁹ Moscow Research and Practical Centre for Medical Rehabilitation, Restorative and Sports Medicine,
- 53 Moscow Healthcare Department, Moscow, Russian Federation
- ³⁰ Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, UK
- ³¹ Sport Medical Center, Japan Institute of Sports Sciences, Tokyo, Japan
- ³² Orthopaedic Center Theresie, Munich, Germany
- ³³ Institute of Sport and Social Sciences Norwegian School of Sport Sciences Oslo, Norway
- ³⁴ Sporting Integrity Ltd, Stoke Mandeville, UK
- ³⁵ Department of Sports Medicine, San Antonio Catholic University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain
- 60 ³⁶ FIMS Collaborating Center of Sports Medicine, Guadalajara, Mexico
- ³⁷ Department of Orthopaedics, University of São Paulo Medical School, São Paulo, Brazil
- 62 ³⁸ FIMS Collaborating Centre of Sports Medicine, Thessaloniki, Greece
- ³⁹Amsterdam Collaboration on Health and Safety in Sports, Department of Public and Occupational Health,
- 64 Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam Movement Sciences, Amsterdam, The
- 65 Netherlands
- ⁴⁰ Department of Family Medicine and Community Health, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA
- ⁴¹ Biomedical Institute, Fluminense Federal University Medical School, Niterói, Brazil
- ⁴² Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Endocrine Unit, Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre, Brazil

- ⁴³ Gloria Sports Arena, FIMS Collaborating Centre of Sports Medicine, Antalya, Turkey
- 70 ⁴⁴ Puerto Rico Sports Medicine Federation, San Juan, Puerto Rico
- ⁴⁵ Asian Federation of Sports Medicine (AFSM), Hong Kong Center of Sports Medicine and Sports Science,
- 72 Hong Kong
- ⁴⁶ Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa
- ⁴⁷ FIMS Collaboration Centre of Sports Medicine, Sports laboratory, Riga, Latvia
- ⁴⁸ FIMS Collaboration Centre of Sports Medicine, Instituto de Medicina do Esporte, Porto Alegre, Brazil
- ⁴⁹ School of Sport and Service Management, University of Brighton, Eastbourne, UK
- ⁵⁰School of Engineering & Materials Science, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- 78

79 Corresponding Author

- 80 Yannis P. Pitsiladis: y.pitsiladis@brighton.ac.uk University of Brighton, Eastbourne, UK.
- 81

82 ORCID iDs

- 83 Blair Hamilton: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7412-1188
- 84 Maria José Martinez Patiño: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2721-9240
- 85 Alexander Kolliari-Turner: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2469-7645
- 86 Jürgen Steinacker: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8901-9450
- 87 Fergus Guppy: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8526-9169
- 88 Giscard Lima: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3781-952
- 89 David Niederseer: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3089-1222
- 90 Demitri Constantinou: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3363-7695
- 91 Borja Muniz-Pardos: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9191-9033
- 92 Christian Schneider: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4834-4382
- André Pedrinelli: https://orcid.org/0000 0002 8499 74 93
- Evert Verhagen https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9227-8234
- 95 Rogerio Friedman https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2802-4242
- 96 Yannis Pitsiladis: https://orcid. org/0000-0001-6210-2449
- 97 Richard Twycross-Lewis: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9564-333X
- 98

99 Author contributions

- 100 The first draft of the manuscript was written by Blair Hamilton, Fergus M Guppy and Yannis Pitsiladis. All
- 101 authors commented on subsequent versions of the manuscript until all authors were able to approve the
- 102 final manuscript.

- **Declarations**
- **Funding:** The writing of this manuscript was not funded.
- 106 Conflict of Interest/Competing interests: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest or
- 107 competing interests.
- **Ethics approval:** No ethics Approval was required for this manuscript.

Caster Semenya recently lost her appeal against the restriction of blood testosterone levels in female athletes [1] set by World Athletics [2, 3], requiring female athletes with "*Disorders of Sex Development*" (DSD)[4] to reduce their blood testosterone concentrations to <5 nmol/L for a period of at least six months, and then must maintain this lower blood testosterone continuously if they wish to remain eligible for events between 400 m and 1 mile. This outcome opposes resolution 40/5, on race and gender discrimination in sport published by the United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC) which calls for the regulations to be revoked [5].

116

117 The UNHRC [5] and World Medical Association [4] argue that World Athletics regulation [2], deny DSD 118 women the right to participate in certain events unless they accept "unnecessary medical intervention", and 119 that athletes are being coerced into such treatment. The authors agree that there is currently no direct 120 scientific evidence that DSD women athletes with higher testosterone levels have a performance advantage 121 in sporting events between 400 and 1500 m [5]. This evidence will be difficult to obtain, given the low 122 number of DSD athletes and the ethical considerations in such research. The principles that underlie a 123 performance advantage as a result of biological differences created by male levels of testosterone have been 124 argued by Handelsman et. al. [6] and are robust, but it is acknowledged that the evidence offered in support 125 of the DSD policy creates a contradiction between the theory and evidence, since that policy requires 126 hormonal control and applies to select events only. This selective ban is questionable, with athletes 127 excelling in events at opposite ends of the restrictions such as American athlete Michael Johnson who held 128 world records at 200 m and 400 m, as well as Herb McKenley, who won medals at 100, 200, and 400 m. 129 Athletes have also performed at elite levels in the 1500 and 3000 m, the former of which is regulated by the policy, the latter is not. 130

131

Given the influence of high testosterone concentrations, there is concern that 6 months of testosterone suppression is not long enough to negate potential advantages from life-long exposure. There is growing support for the idea that development influenced by high testosterone levels may result in retained anatomical and physiological advantages [7]. One such inherent "*legacy*" effect may be the phenomenon of muscle memory [8], that has been defined as the ability to rebuild muscle mass and strength after a long intervening period of inactivity and muscle mass loss [9].

138

139 The debate over sporting fairness, highlighted by the UNHRC, is particularly pertinent in DSD athletes [1,

140 10-13]. The argument is that DSD athletes competing in female sports possess potentially unfair advantages

141 created by high levels of testosterone [8, 14-19]. To have meaningful competition, our current opinion is

142 that the much higher than the normal female range in circulating testosterone levels in DSD need to be

mitigated [17]. This action is intended to achieve a balance of fairness and safety while permitting inclusion, as reducing testosterone will reduce or eliminate the advantages conferred by androgens during puberty and development [20]. These measures are consistent with the idea that elite female competition forms a *"protected category"* with an entry that must be restricted by objective eligibility criteria.

147

148 How DSD athletes are integrated into sport will depend on the balance of three philosophical arguments -149 sporting fairness, safety and inclusion. The sporting fairness and safety arguments are that all athletes 150 competing should have a chance to succeed and an injury risk which each athlete is prepared to accept. As 151 competitors, athletes accept that a degree of unfairness is inherent to sport since the best performing athletes 152 usually possess genetically mediated advantages like the greater height of an athlete in basketball [21, 22], 153 or architecture of skeletal muscle that determines whether an individual has the potential to become a 154 champion sprinter or marathon runner, but not both [22-24]. However, not all natural advantages are left 155 unregulated or uncategorised. Rules have been created for weight and age categories to maintain fairness 156 and for safety reasons. In the absence of such categories, sporting competitions may lose their meaning, 157 rewarding factors such as maturity/age or size/mass, rather than the attributes that sport is intended to 158 reward. The same is true of biological sex, necessitating the separation of those who lack the performance-159 enhancing effects of testosterone from those who benefit from it.

160

161 As advocates for sporting integrity and evidence-guided decision making in sport, we empathise with the 162 viewpoint of the UNHRC. However, abandoning eligibility regulations by allowing athletes to self-identify 163 into women's sport risks setting a precedent for the integrity of sport, which would pose a health risk to 164 women athletes when biologically male athletes compete in heavy contact sports such as boxing while 165 posing a negligible safety risk to women in sports such as golf. In most running events, for instance, an 166 advantage of 10-15% is often seen in biologically male athletes in comparison with biologically female 167 athletes [25]. If a biologically male athlete self identifies as a female, legitimately with a diagnosis of gender 168 dysphoria [26] or illegitimately to win medals [27], the athlete already possesses a physiological advantage 169 that undermines fairness and safety. This is not equitable, nor consistent with the fundamental principles of 170 the Olympic Charter [28] and could be a potential danger to the health and safety of athletes. These 171 situations unequivocally demonstrate eligibility rules are necessary but as previously stated they need to be 172 proportionate and evidence based.

173

174 Athletes need to be aware of the consequences and obligations of choosing to compete in a protected class 175 and the decision to pursue the necessary therapy for eligibility in that class must belong with the athlete. If

176 athletes are fully informed about the treatment requirements and consequences, they can make an informed

177 choice in what is best for them as individuals. As outlined by the UNHRC, the line between consent and

178 coercion must not be blurred, athlete well-being must be the primary determinant of treatment and no athlete

should be coerced into a choice that may harm their long term health. However, if an athlete is fully

180 informed and consents, then it is their free choice to compete and free choice is a fundamental human right.

181 If there were no eligibility rules, sport would lose its integrity and near-universal support, and as we have

182 seen during the COVID-19 crisis, sport is much poorer without supporters. Without rules that are perceived

183 as fair, sport will not engage the younger generation and likely negatively impact future participation rates

184 in the female category, particularly with self-identification at the elite level.

185

186

187

188 References

- 189 1. BBC. Caster Semenya loses appeal against the restriction of testosterone levels in female runners. BBC
- 190 Website: BBC; 2020.
- 191 2. Athletics W. IAAF introduces new eligibility regulations for female classification. World Athetics;
- 192 2018.
- 193 3. Eligibility Regulations for the Female Classification (Athletes with Differences of Sex Development),
- 194 (2019).
- 195 4. Association WM. WMA Urges Physicians Not To Implement IAAF Rules on Classifying Women
- 196 Athletes. 2019. https://www.wma.net/news-post/wma-urges-physicians-not-to-implement-iaaf-rules-on-
- 197 <u>classifying-women-athletes/</u>. Accessed 20th October 2020.
- 198 5. Council HR. Intersection of Race and Gender Discrimination in Sport. 2020.
- 199 https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session44/_layouts/15/WopiFrame.aspx?so
- 200 urcedoc=/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session44/Documents/A_HRC_44_26_AEV.docx&actio
- 201 <u>n=default&DefaultItemOpen=1</u>. Accessed September 10th 2020.
- 202 6. Handelsman DJ, Hirschberg AL, Bermon S. Circulating Testosterone as the Hormonal Basis of Sex
- 203 Differences in Athletic Performance. Endocr Rev. 2018;39(5):803-29. doi:10.1210/er.2018-00020.
- 204 7. Harper J, Lima G, Kolliari-Turner A, Malinsky FR, Wang G, Martinez-Patino MJ et al. The Fluidity of
- 205 Gender and Implications for the Biology of Inclusion for Transgender and Intersex Athletes. Curr Sports
- 206 Med Rep. 2018;17(12):467-72. doi:10.1249/JSR.00000000000543.
- 8. Pitsiladis Y, Harper J, Betancurt JO, Martinez-Patino M-J, Parisi A, Wang G et al. Beyond fairness: the
- 208 biology of inclusion for transgender and intersex athletes. Current sports medicine reports.
- 209 2016;15(6):386-8.
- 210 9. Taaffe D, Marcus R. Dynamic muscle strength alterations to detraining and retraining in elderly men.
- 211 Clinical Physiology. 1997;17(3):311-24.
- 212 10. Sport CoAf. CAS 2018/0/5794 Mokgadi Caster Semenya v. International Association of Athletics
- 213 Federations and CAS 2018/0/5798 Athletics South Africa v. International Association of Athletics

- 214 Federations. 2018. https://www.tas-cas.org/fileadmin/user_upload/CAS_Award_-_redacted_-
- 215 <u>Semenya ASA IAAF.pdf</u>. Accessed 26th of February 2020.
- 216 11. Rogers T. Caster Semenya Is Not a Hermaphrodite but Rumored Test Results Reveal the
- 217 Controversial Runner Is Intersex—a Shocker, Likely Even to Her. Salon. 2009.
- 218 12. Schultz J. Caster Semenya and the "question of too": Sex testing in elite women's sport and the issue
- 219 of advantage. Quest. 2011;63(2):228-43.
- 220 13. Johnson J, Sotherton K. Caster Semenya ruling: The case for and against IAAF's testosterone
- 221 regulations. The Telegraph. 2019. https://www.telegraph.co.uk/athletics/2019/05/02/caster-semenya-
- 222 <u>ruling-case-against-iaafs-testosterone-regulations/</u>. Accessed 8th April 2020.
- 14. Sullivan CF. Gender verification and gender policies in elite sport: Eligibility and "fair play". Journal
- of Sport and Social Issues. 2011;35(4):400-19.
- 15. Ziegler EM, Huntley TI. It Got too Tough to Not Be Me: Accommodating Transgender Athletes in
- 226 Sport. JC & UL. 2013;39:467.
- 16. Jones BA, Arcelus J, Bouman WP, Haycraft E. Sport and transgender people: a systematic review of
- the literature relating to sport participation and competitive sport policies. Sports Medicine.
- 229 2017;47(4):701-16.
- 17. Bianchi A. Transgender women in sport. Journal of the Philosophy of Sport. 2017;44(2):229-42.
- 18. Simon R. Deserving to be lucky: Reflections on the role of luck and desert in sports. Journal of the
- 232 Philosophy of Sport. 2007;34(1):13-25.
- 233 19. Gleaves J, Lehrbach T. Beyond fairness: The ethics of inclusion for transgender and intersex athletes.
- Journal of the Philosophy of Sport. 2016;43(2):311-26.
- 235 20. Bermon S. Androgens and athletic performance of elite female athletes. Current Opinion in
- Endocrinology, Diabetes and Obesity. 2017;24(3):246-51.
- 237 21. Guth LM, Roth SM. Genetic influence on athletic performance. Current opinion in pediatrics.
- 238 2013;25(6):653.

- 239 22. Tucker R, Collins M. The science of sex verification and athletic performance. International journal of
- sports physiology and performance. 2010;5(2):127-39.
- 241 23. Trappe S, Luden N, Minchev K, Raue U, Jemiolo B, Trappe TA. Skeletal muscle signature of a
- champion sprint runner. Journal of Applied Physiology. 2015;118(12):1460-6.
- 243 24. Terrados N, Larsen H, Svedenhag J, Holf C. Morphology, enzyme activities and buffer capacity in leg
- 244 muscles of Kenyan and. Scand J Med Sci Sports. 1995;5:222-30.
- 245 25. Hirschberg AL, Knutsson JE, Helge T, Godhe M, Ekblom M, Bermon S et al. Effects of moderately
- 246 increased testosterone concentration on physical performance in young women: a double blind,
- randomised, placebo controlled study. British Journal of Sports Medicine. 2019:bjsports-2018-100525.
- 248 26. NHS. Gender dysphoria. The National Health Service. 2020. https://www.nhs.uk/conditions/gender-
- 249 <u>dysphoria/</u>. Accessed 29th July 2020.
- 250 27. Heggie V. Subjective sex: science, medicine and sex tests in sports. Routledge handbook of sport,
- 251 gender and sexuality. Routledge; 2014. p. 359-67.
- 252 28. IOC IOC. Olympic Charter. Lausanne 2019. 2019. <u>www.olympic.org/documents/olympic-charter</u>.

253